◆ AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

	United		CT COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT NEBESSEA OF NEBRASKA	
		District of	NEBRASAA	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		2007 DEC 17 PM 3: 54	
V. ORDER OF DETENTION PENDIN			OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
JAMES L. GLASS Case 4:07CR3160 VITIGE OF THE			7CR3160 VITIOL VI THE VEENING	
Defendant				
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.				
Part I—Findings of Fact				
(I)	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a			
	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in			
-				
☐ (3)	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).			
(4)	(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.			
Alternative Findings (A)				
x (1)	There is probable cause to believe for which a maximum term of under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	e that the defendant has com imprisonment of ten years of	or 21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seq	
X (2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumpt the appearance of the defendant as required	ion established by finding I that no coannumber and the safety of the community.	ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure	
	Alternative Findings (B)			
(1) (2)	 There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community. 			
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that				
	This order	will serve a	5 detamer.	
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention				
to the e reasona Governi	defendant is committed to the custody of the Axtent practicable, from persons awaiting or s	Attorney General or his designated represerving sentences or being held in cu	resentative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, stody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a rt of the United States or on request of an attorney for the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance	
12-17-07 A Jain L Studie				
	Date		nature of Judicial Officer	
	David L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judge			
		Name a	and Title of Judicial Officer	

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).